# Descriptions of new paracolletine bees associated with flowers of Eremophila (Hymenoptera: Colletidae) 

Terry F. Houston*


#### Abstract

Thirteen species of Paracolletini oligolectic (or probably oligolectic) on flowers of Eremophila (Myoporaceae) are described as new. They are as follows: eleven species of Leioproctus sensu stricto (canutus, capito, concavus, eremites, eremitulus, kumarina, lanceolatus, longipalpus, lucanus and lucidicinctus, collectively forming a monophyletic assemblage designated the $L$. capito species-group, and nasurus) and two closely related species of Trichocolletes s. str. (eremophilae and multipectinatus).

All are characterized by elongation of the proboscis, an adaptation to the constricted corolla tube of the forage plants. The Leioproctus species, especially members of the capito group, are further characterized by enlargement of the labial palpi.

Males of $L$. capiro and $L$. lucanus are unusual in being much larger than the females and in having extraordinarily large heads and mandibles.


## Introduction

Eremophila (family Myoporaceae) is a large genus of shrubs and small trees mainly confined to the arid and semiarid regions (Eremaean Province) of Australia (Elliot \& Jones 1984). The flower has a tubular corolla which is constricted near its base. The lumen of the corolla tube is occluded at the constriction by the style and four anther filaments: for this reason, typical broad-headed, short-tongued bees are excluded from the suite of bees which is able to obtain nectar from Eremophila flowers. The only bees which regularly take nectar from Eremophila are long-tongued species (anthophorids and megachilids), very tiny bees which presumably manage to squeeze through the corolla constriction and short-tongued bees with especially elongated proboscides and / or palpi (Houston 1983).

The present paper describes several species in the last category and makes names available for a proposed article on the bees of Eremophila.

The new species of Trichocolletes Cockerell are unusual in their floral preferences as most of their congeners are oligolectic on Fabaceae.

Both Leioproctus and Trichocolletes are large genera much in need of revision. However, after consulting the works of Cockerell (1934) and Michener (1965) 1 am confident that my new species have not been described previously.

## Terminology and Abbreviations

The morphological terminology employed here follows that of Michener (1965).
Relative dimensions quoted in the descriptions are directly comparable between sexes and species. All were measured using an eye-piece graticule on a stereomicroscope with
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Figure 1 Leioprostus capito species-group: (a, b) male and female, respectively, of $L$ (ophoro: (c, d) same of L. lucantw; ( $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{f}$ inner and outer views, respectively, of fore tibia and tarsus of $I$. copibo fomale showing pollen brush extending over tibia, ( g ) detail (from e) showing brush hairs at apex of tibia, Scale lines (a-d) 5 mm , (e,f) 1 mm , (g) 0.1 mm .


Figure 2 Head and left antenna of a Leioproctus showing some of the measurements used in this paper and their abbreviations (for explanation see Terminology and Abbreviations).
the zoom objective set to give a head width reading of 50 divisions. By doubling the figure for any given dimension, a percentage of head width is obtained. The methods of obtaining certain measurements are illustrated in Figures 2 and 3 i and abbreviations used for them are as follows:
AOD Antennocular distance
ASD Diameter of antennal socket
BMW Basal width of mandible (viewed laterally)
DMA Distance between anterior mandibular articulations
FL Flagellum length
HL Head length
HVO Height of vertex above lateral ocelli
HW Head width
IAD Interantennal distance
LID Lower interorbital distance
LPL Labial palpus length
LP4L Length of distal segment of labial palpus
ML Mandible length
MOD Diameter of median ocellus
MPL Maxillary palpus length
OOD Ocẹllocular distance
PGL Length of post-palpal portion of galea
PML Prementum length (from base to insertion of palpi)
SL Scape length

SW Scape width
UFW Upper width of face
UID Upper interorbital distance
WOC Width of ocellar cluster
LID, UFW and UID are not measurable in some instances when the inner orbits are strongly divergent ventrally or dorsally.

In the lists of specimens examined, collectors' names are abbreviated as follows: BPH, B. P. Hanich; CAH, C.A. Howard; JCC, J.C. Cardale: TFH, T.F. Houston. HS means homestead. The following abbreviations are used for collections in which specimens are lodged: ANIC, Australian National Insect Collection, Canberra; SAM, South Australian Museum; UQIC, University of Queensland Insect Collection, Brisbane; WAM, Western Australian Museum, Perth.

## Systematics

## Colletidae: Colletinae: Paracolletini Genus Leioproctus Smith

## Leioproctus capito species-group

## Diagnosis

Most readily distinguishable from other Leioproctus s. str. by the enlarged labial palpi: labial palpus 1.3-2.8 x as long as maxillary palpus, distal (fourth) segment 0.4-1.2 x as long as antennal scape, compressed and usually expanded, lanceolate (Figure 3 k , $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{o}$ ).

## Description

The following characteristics are additional to those noted in the diagnosis.
Frons and vertex uniformly convex (not sunken bet ween lateral ocelli and compound eyes); vertex slightly to greatly developed above level of compound eyes and ocelli; genae moderately to strongly developed ( $0.6-1.4 \mathrm{x}$ as wide as compound eyes viewed laterally); interantennal distance equal to or greater than antennocular distance: interantennal area transversely convex, more or less confluent with gently raised, somewhat triangular supraclypeal area: malar area extremely short or absent; antennae of both sexes relatively short, scape not or barely reaching mid ocellus, flagellum of male only $0.5-0.9 \mathrm{x}$ as long as head width, cylindrical except at tapered ends; proboscis moderately to strongly elongated (Figure 3 k ), glossa extended forward of sclerotized, pigmented apex of prementum on a more or less membranous stem by as much as its own length (Figure 3 j ): glossal brush strongly developed, hairs about as long as or much longer than medial length of glossa; metanotum not tuberculate but prominent medially in some species: propodeal enclosure with steeply sloping basal surface rounding evenly onto vertical posterior surface with no separating carina; fore wing with 2 nd submarginal cell about half as long as 3 rd and one third as long as 1 st ; inner hind tibial spur of female pectinate with 8-16 long teeth (Figure 3 c ); scopal setae of hind tibia of female dense, highly plumose.


Figure 3 Leioproctus capito speeies-group: (a-e) fore, mid and inner hind tibial spurs, respectively, of $L$. capito female: (d-h) mandibles of males (outer apical or outer views, pubescence omitted) of (d) I.. capito, (e) L. lucanus, (f) L. eremitulus, (g) L. lanceolatus, (h) L. lucidicinctus; (i) left maxilla (PGL = prepalpal portion of galea) and (j) apex of labium (anterior view) of $L$. capito female; ( k ) head (pubeseenee omitted) and proboseis of L. longipalpus female, left lateral view; (1) labrum of L. lucidicinctus female; ( $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{o}$ ) apex of labium (left lateral views) of females of ( m ) L. kumarina, ( n ) L. capito and (o) L. eremitulus. Seale lines 0.5 mm .

## Distribution

Arid and semiarid regions (Eremaean Province) of Australia.

## Flower Preferences

By far the majority of specimens of the capito group (i.e. 102 of 110 males and 87 of 102 females) were collected at flowers of Eremophila. Blue or bluish flowered species are preferred and no records are known of the bees visiting the reddish-flowered and predominantly bird-pollinated species.

A few specimens were collected at flowers of other plant gencra. The following species were collected at flowers of Dipteracanthus sp. (Acanthaceae): capito ( $2 \hat{0}, 3$ ) ), eremitulus $(10,1$ ) and lanceolatus ( 10,19 ). None of the females collected from Dipteracanthus carried pollen loads (although a few very large pollen grains occurred scattered on the hind tibiae, grains too large to be carried in bulk amongst the finely divided scopal setae). As the blue flowers of Dipteracanthus are similar to those of Eremophila in size and form, the bees may have mistaken them for those of their usual forage plants.

One of 16 males of eremites was collected at flowers of Ptilotus obovatus (Amaranthaceae) and one of four males of lucanus and the unique holotype female of kumarina on flowers of Scaevola spinescens (Goodeniaceac).

In summary, all or most specimens of each species (except kumarina) were collected at flowers of Eremophila. In view of this and their specialized mouthparts (described below), they are presumably oligolectic on Eremophila. Because kumarina has similar mouthparts, I expect it, too, will prove to be similarly oligolectic.

## Bionomics

Nesting sites of only one species, L. eremites, have been observed: about 20 burrows were found amongst small tussocks of grass on a flat road verge 21 km NW of Belele Homestead, W.A. All burrows were open and females were trafficking to and fro. The aggregation occupied about $2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Three females were collected near Coppin Pool, W.A., while burrowing under separatc pebbles on a dirt track.

Five species are active during the late winter-spring period (August-November) and the late summer-autumn period (February-May) as well. Three species (canutus, concavus and kumarina) are recorded only for the vernal period but on the basis of very few collections. Two species (capito and longipalpus) are recorded only for the autumnal period, again on the basis of few collections.

## Discussion

This group contains some very unusual species (such as L. capito and L. lucanus) which, by themselves, could warrant a separate subgenus. However, the characters of other members of the species-group grade back into those of Leioproctus sensu stricto as defined by Michener (1965).

Some morphological features of the group are as follows.
(1). A trend towards increased cephalic development and reduction of the antennae. This is most evident in males and culminates in those of capito and lucanus. Least development occurs in longipalpus. Cephalic development includes elevation of
vertex well above level of compound eyes and ocelli, swelling of genae, broadening of lower face so that compound eyes diverge ventrally, and elongation of mandibles with loss of subapical tooth. These modifications may serve to make the mandibles more powerful and effective pincers for grasping other individuals, either females during copulation or males during intraspecific combat.

The relative size of the antennal flagellum shows a negative correlation with the degree of cephalic enlargement in males (cf Figures 4 a and 5 d ).
(2). Reversed sexual size dimorphism. Males of capito and lucanus are conspicuously larger than their females, especialiy in respect of their heads (Figure $1 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ ). For example, in a sample of 11 males and 10 females of lucanus collected together, head widths ranged from $3.8-4.3 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x}=4.1 \mathrm{~mm})$ in males and $2.8-3.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\bar{x}=3.0 \mathrm{~mm})$ in females. Thus, there is complete separation of the size ranges of the sexes.

In eremites there is considerable overlap of the size ranges of the sexes with head widths of males averaging slightly more than those of females. In eremitulus there is also overlap of the size ranges but it is the females which average slightly larger.

Female bees are usually larger than their males and when males are the larger sex the species is usually one in which male combat is common and intense (Alcock \& Houston 1987). Although 1 have observed males of capito, lucanus and other members of the capito group patrolling their forage plants, I have not observed any male-male or male-female interactions. Also, unlike many other bees where males are the larger sex, there is no pronounced size variation or allometry a mongst males of capito and lucanus.
(3). Development of a fore tibial pollen brush. A well-developed brush of specialized setae occurs on the anterior surface of the fore tibia of females of capito, lanceolatus, lucanus and lucidicinctus. The setae are fairly erect, simple, and bent distally towards the apex of the tibia (Figure $12 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ ). They are similar to setae on the anterior surface of the basitarsus and presumably serve a similar function of sweeping up pollen. A less distinct brush occurs in eremites and none is developed in the remaining species.
(4). A trend towards reduction of the maxillary palpi. Most evident in capito and lucanus, least evident in concavus, kumarina and longipalpus. Reduction of the palpi suggests a loss of function for these appendages.
(5). Elongation of the proboscis as a whole. When related to the size of the head, the proboscis in all members of the capito group is distinctly longer than that of most Leioproctus. The cardines, stipites, prementum and glossa (especially basally) are all unusually long and slender (Figure 3 k ). This elongation logether with the unusually long labial palpi (Figure $3 \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{o}$ ) presumably permits bees to reach nectar of Eremophila flowers through the largely occluded constriction of the corolla tube (Houston 1983).

## Key to the species of the Leioproctus capito species-group

1. Inner orbits diverging downwards, strongly in males (Figure 4 a, e), slightly in females (Figure 4 b); maxillary palpus only
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Figure 4 Leioproctus capito species-group heads (pubescence omitted): (a-e) anterior views of (a, b) $L$. capito male and female, respectively, (c, d) $L$. eremites male and female, respectively, and (e) $L$. lucanus male; (f) L. Iucanus, left lateral view: ( $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}$ ) posteroventral views of females of $L$. lucanus and $L$. capito, respectively ( a, area of hypostoma bounded posteriorly by hypostomal carina, c ). Scale lines 1 mm .
about half as long as post-palpal portion of galea (Figure 3 i) ..... 2
Inner orbits converging downwards (e.g. Figure 5 b-e) ormore or less parallel (e.g. Figure 5 a); maxillary palpus atleast $0.7 \times$ as long as post-palpal portion of galea3
2. Male with lower gena excavated, angular (Figure 4 f ),mandible excavated subapically on anterior margin, aprominent angle proximal to excavation (Figure 3 e ); femalewith hypostomal carina defining a broad area near base ofmandible (Figure 4 g )L. lucanus
Male with lower gena evenly convex, mandible weakly emarginate subapically on anterior margin, without a prominent angle proximal to excavation (Figure 3d); female with hypostomal carina defining only a very narrow area near base of mandible (Figure 4 h) L. capito
3. Distal (4th) segment of labial palpus as long as or longer than antennal scape ..... 4
Distal segment of labial palpus distinctly shorter than antennal scape ..... 6
4. Maxillary palpus distinctly exceeding apex of galea;propodeal enclosure and lst metasomal tergum of maleglossy, of female partly or moderately glossyL. longipalpus
Maxillary palpus not reaching apex of galea; propodeal enclosure and lst metasomal tergum of both sexes dulled by fine sculpture ..... 5
5. Male with mandible bearing a distinct subapical tooth (Figure 4 ff ) and ventral margin of labrum slightly concave L. eremitulus
Male (female not recognized) with mandible lacking adistinct subapical tooth (Figure 5 c ), ventral margin oflabrum slightly convexL. canutus
6. Inner orbits more or less parallel; maxillary palpus notattaining apex of galea; fore tibia of female with uniform setof brush hairs similar to those of basitarsus (Figure $1 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ ) .7
Inner orbits distinctly converging downwards (e.g. Figure 5 e); maxillary palpus attaining or exceeding apex of galea (if not, see Incertae sedis, 1); fore tibia of female (males unknown) without a uniform set of brush hairs ..... 9
7. Hind margins of metasomal terga 1-4 (\%) or 1-5 ( bands of adpressed white pubescence (interrupted medially, often incomplete) L. lanceolatus
Hind margins of metasomal terga entirely without bands of white pubescence ..... 88. Hind margins of metasomal terga broadly hyaline; femalewith labrum transversely carinate (Figure 31 ), setae of hind
tibiae wholly white L. lucidicinctus
Hind margins of metasomal terga not hyaline; female with labrum not transversely carinate, setae of hind tibiae largely sooty L. eremites9. Clypeus of female (male unk nown) sunken slightly medially;metasomal terga dulled by fine sculpturing betweenpuncturesL. concavusClypeus of female (male unknown) evenly gently convex;metasomal terga closely punctured but shiningL. kumarina

## Incertae Sedis

Several specimens of the capito group which were available to me could not be placed with certainty in any of the recognized species. They are detailed below.

1. 5 q, in WAM $(87 / 1449-50,89 / 401-3), 18 \mathrm{~km}$ WS W of Mulline, $29^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 120^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, Western Australia, 23 Sept. 1982, BPH \& TFH, on flowers of Eremophila pantonii. These females are distinct from all others of the group. They are, perhaps, the females of $L$. canutus (known only from the unique male holotype) but there are no distinctive features permitting positive association.

In the key, the femalcs run to couplet 6 and would run to couplet 9 and $L$. concavus were it not for the fact that the maxillary palpus does not attain the apex of the galca. They differ from concavus also in being larger and having the metasoma entirely black.
2. 1 §̂, 1 中, in WAM (89/399-400), 10 miles SW of Charleville, Queensland, 15 April 1969, TFH, on flowers of Eremophila gilesii.
Initially, l identified this pair as lanceolatus on the basis of external features and particularly the presence of partial apical bands of white tomentum on the metasomal terga. However, the terminalia of the male proved to be much more like those of L. eremites.

## Leioproctus (Leioproctus) canutus sp. nov.

Figures 5 c; 6 a-c

## Holotype

 C.A. Howard \& T.F. Houston 336-9, on flowers of Eremophila maillandii.

## Diagnosis

Male (female unknown) most like L. eremitulus and L. longipalpus in having inner orbits slightly converging ventrally (Figure 5 c ), lower face relatively narrow (DMA/HW ca. 0.6), distal segment of labial palpus about as long as antennal scape. Differs from longipalpus in having relatively shorter palpi and dull propodeal enclosure. Differs from eremitulus in having subapical tooth of mandible obtuse, indistinct, and metasomal terga 2-5 with numerous long erect white plumose setae.

## Terry F. Houston



Figure 5 Leioproctus capito species-group heads (anterior views, pubescence omitted): (a-d) males of (a) L. lucidicinctus, (b) L. eremitulus, (c) L. canutus, (d) L. longipalpus; (e) female of L. concavus. Scale lines 1 mm .
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Figure 6 Leioproctus capito species-group male terminalia (left to right - genital capsule, 7 th and 8th metasomal sterna; dorsal views on right halves, ventral on left); (a-c) $L$. canutus, (d-f) $L$. eremilulus, (g-i) L. longipalpus. Scale lines 0.5 mm .

## Male (holotype)

Head width 2.07 mm ; body length ca. 7 mm .
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 47; UID 33; UFW 34; LID 29; DMA 30; HVO 4; WOC 18; MOD 5; OOD 8.5; IAD 9; ASD 5; AOD 7; SL 13; SW 4.5; FL 37; ML 20; BMW 7.5; PML 35; LPL ca. 32; LP4L 12.5; MPL ca. 15; PGL 18.5.

Head fairly rounded in anterior view with vertex moderately strongly elcvated above level of ocelli; compound eyes converging ventrally (Figure 5 c ); gena about 0.7 x as wide as compound eye vie wed laterally; mandible virtually without a subapical anterior tooth (tooth not excised); labrum 0.38 x as long as wide, smooth, convex, ventral margin straight; flagellum 0.74 x as long as head width, fairly cylindrical: maxillary palpus ca. 0.8 x as long as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus ca. 0.93 x as long as prementum, distal segment 0.96 x as long as antennal scape.

Integument wholly black to black-brown except for reddish apices of mandibles and some orange-brown at apices of tarsi and metasoma; generally dull, coriarious, except as follows: clypeus and supraclypeal area glossy with close to sparse medium puncturing; scutum and scutellum weakly shining with close small puncturing; metasomal terga very dull, strongly coriarious with sparse indistinct puncturing.

Pubescence entirely white, nowhere very dense but long, erect and plumose over most of head, body and appendages, that of scutum up to 2.5 ocellar diameters long; metasomal terga with setae shorter, evenly sparsely distributed but absent from hind margins.

Terminalia: Figure 6 a-c.

## Remarks

One of the smaller members of the capito group known only from the unique male holotype. However, five unidentified females from near Mulline, W.A. (scc Incertae Sedis), may prove to be conspecific.

## Etymology

The specific epithet is Latin for "white-haired'.

## Leioproctus (Leioproctus) capito sp. nov.

Figures 1 a, b, e-g; 3 a-d, i, j, n; 4 a, b, h; 8 a-d

## Holotype

In WAM $(82 / 474)$, $\widehat{0}, 9.5 \mathrm{~km}$ SE of Banjiwarn HS $\left(27^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 121^{\prime \prime} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$. Western Australia, 22-28 February 1980, T.F. Houston et al. 316-4, on flowers of Eremophila margarethae.

## Paratypes

10 8 , 9 ¢ in WAM: 5 §, 9 , 9 in SAM. See Speeimens Examined.

## Diagnosis

Most like L. lucanus and unlike other species in having inner orbits diverging ventrally (Figure 4 a, b), maxillary palpus only about half as long as post-palpal portion of galea; mandible of male very largc, sinuously curved, with anterior margin excavated subapically (Figure 3 d ). Differs from lucanus in having 5 -segmented maxillary palpi,
mandible of male with smaller excavation in anterior margin and more obtuse angle proximal to excavation (cf d and e Figure 3), gena of male not excavated and angular, hypostomal carina of female defining only a very narrow area near insertion of mandible (Figure 4 h ) and metasoma of female black-brown (not orange-brown).

## Description

Male (holotype)
Head width 3.85 mm ; body length ca. 11 mm .
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 43; UID 31; UFW -; LID -; DMA 46; HVO 10 ; WOC 11.5; MOD 3; OOD 10; lAD 11; ASD 3.5; AOD 10; SL 14; SW 3; FL 24; ML 34; BMW 9; PML 31; LPL ca. 20; LP4L 5.5; MPL ca. 7; PGL 14.

Compound eyes strongly diverging ventrally (Figure 4 a); vertex greatly developed; ocelli closer to antennal sockets than to posterior margin of head; labrum emarginate mid-ventrally (medial length only 0.28 x width); mandible very long, sinuate in outer view (as in /ucanus, Figure 4 f), anterior margin emarginate subapically, without a tooth; gena slightly wider than compound eye viewed laterally, profile even; maxillary palpus ca. 0.5 x as lo.2g as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus ca. 0.67 x as long as prementum; distal segment 0.39 x as long as antennal scape; flagelium 0.48 x as long as head width.

Integument black to black-brown except as follows. Yellow-brown: scape, pedicel, proximal portion of flagellum, mid-ventral margin of clypeus, labrum, mandibles (except dark red apices), inner surfaces of fore and mid femora and tibiae, distal segments of tarsi, distal portion of proboscis. Tegulae and wing veins mostly mid-brown. Hind margins of metasomal terga hyaline.

Lower face and metasomal terga weakly shining, integument otherwise rather dull, strongly minutely coriarious; clypeus with open to close small punctures; areas below antennal sockets impunctate; frons, vertex and scutum with open fine puncturing; metasomal terga coriarious with sparse minute punctures.

Pubescence almost wholly white (sooty setae occur at a pex of metasoma); moderately long, more or less erect plurnose setae occur on head, thorax, propodeum, first metasomal tergum anteriorly and legs (setae dense, obscuring most of integument on paraocular areas and lateral angles of clypeus; elsewhere rather sparse); metasomal terga with sparse covering of short, simple, more or less adpressed setae, most conspicuous in oblique illumination.

Terminalia: see Figure 8 a-d; 'brush' on apex of seventh metasomal sternum longer and less dense than that of lucanus.

Female (paratype WAM 89/367)
Head width 2.75 mm ; body length $c a .10 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 44; UID 31; UFW -; LID -; DMA 38; HVO 5; WOC 13; MOD 3.5; OOD 8.5; IAD 9; ASD 4; AOD 8; SL 14; SW 3.2; FL ca. 24; ML 26; BMW 9; PML 34; LPL ca. 24; LP4L 7; MPL 9; PGL 17.

Compound eyes diverging ventrally, vertex strongly developed above ocelli (Figure 4 b); labrum 2.6 x as wide as long, smoothly convex without carinae; mandibles bidentate,
anterior tooth weak; maxillary palpus 0.53 x as long as post-palpal portion of galea, 5 -segmented; labial palpus 0.7 x as long as prementum, distal segment 0.5 x as long as antennal scape; fore tibial calcar with long, tapering, apical spine bearing several fine teeth (Figure 3 a); mid tibial spur strongly curved at apex (Figure 3 b).

Integument of head, thorax and propodeum black; labrum, antennae (partly), legs, wing veins and metasoma dark brown to black-brown. The following are yellow-brown: mandibles basally (grading to red-brown distally), proboscis distally, flagella ventrally, inner surfaces of fore tibiae.

Clypeus and supraclypeal area shining with close to sparse punctures: metasomal terga feebly shining, coriarious with open minute puncturing; remainder of integument dull, coriarious with (except propodeal enclosure) close to open small puncturing.

Pubescence predominantly white, buff on vertex and dorsum of thorax, dusky brown on dorsal edges of mid and hind tibiae, dark brown on 5th and 6th metasomal terga; setae moderately long, more or less erect, plumose on head, thorax (except scutum), propodeum, metasomal tergum 1 anteriorly, 2-5 laterally and hind margins of sterna; scutum (except margins) with sparse, simple, erect setae and short adpressed setae; metasomal terga 2-4 with sparse cover of short simple silvery setae; fore tibia with brush-like set of erect, simple setae, curved at the end and much like those of basitarsus (Figure $1 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ ).

## Variation

Slight. Head widths of males vary from $3.35-4.15 \mathrm{~mm}$, of females from $2.6-3.15 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## Remarks

This species is morphologically very similar to L. lucanus. Males of both species are remarkable for their relatively large broad heads and long mandibles and for exceeding the females in size (see species-group discussion). The two species are sympatric and have even been collected together at the same flowers. While they could be interpreted as morphs of one species, I have treated them as distinct species in view of their morphological constancy and lack of intergrades.

## Etymology

The specific epithet, capito, is Latin for 'large-headed'.

## Distribution

'Goldfields Region' of Western Australia (between Mt Magnet and Laverton) and far northern South Australia.

## Specimens Examined

The holotype and the lollowing paratypes. Western Australia: $6 \mathbf{\delta}$, same data as holotype, W A M $(82 ; 469$, 471-2, 475-6, 478); 2q, same data as holotype but 10.5 km SE of homestead, W AM ( $82 / 465-6$ ) ; 4 flowers of Eremophila spathulata), same data as holotype but 12.5 km SSE of homestead. WAM $(82 / 457$, 461-4; 89/365-9); 19. 26 km NE of Youanmi, 28"27'S. $119^{\prime \prime} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 15$ March 1982, TFH \& BPH, on blue flowers of Eremophila, WAM (89) 370). South Australia: 2 亿. 3 多, 45 km ENE of Dalhousie HS, $26^{\prime \prime} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, $135^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 24$ April 1977, TFH, on flowers of Dipteracanthus, SAM; $3 \hat{\text { O }}, 69,6 \mathrm{~km}$ NE of Nilpinna HS, 5 March 1975, TFH, on blue flowers of Eremophila, SAM.


Figure 7 Leioproctus capito species-group male terminalia (left to right - genital capsule, 7th and 8th metasomal sterna; dorsal views on right halves, ventral on left): (a-c) L. eremites, (d-f) $L$. lanceolatus, ( $\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{i}$ ) L. lucidicinctus. Scale lines 0.5 mm .


Figure 8 Leioproctus capito species-group male terminalia (left to right - genital capsule, 7th and 8th metasomal sterna; ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{h}$ ) right lateral views of apices of 8 th metasomal sterna: dorsal views on right halves, ventral on left): (a-d) $L$. capito, (e-h) $L$. lucanus. Scale lines 0.5 mm .

## Leioproctus (Leioproctus) concavus sp. nov.

Figure 5 e

## Holotype

In WAM (87/1448), $9,70-75 \mathrm{~km}$ ENE of Norseman, Western Australia, 10-16 Nov, 1978, T.F. Houston et al. 220-20, on flowers of Eremophila scoparia.

## Paratypes

If in ANIC; 39 in WAM. See Specimens Examined

## Diagnosis

Female (male unknown) distinguished by the following combination of characters: relatively small (body length $c a .6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), inner orbits converging ventrally, vertex only
slightly elevated above ocelli (Figure 5 e), clypeus slightly depressed medially (unique in species-group), labial palpi only about 0.75 x as long as prementum and maxillary palpi as long as post-palpal portion of galea.

## Female (holotype)

Head width 1.85 mm ; body length $c a .6 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 43; UlD 34; UFW 34; L1D 29; DMA 30; HVO 2; WOC 18; MOD 4; OOD 9; IAD 8; ASD 4.5; AOD 7; SL 14; SW 4; FL 25; ML 20; BMW 8; PML 31; LPL ca. 23; LP4L 8; MPL 16; PGL 16.

Vertex only weakly elevated above level of ocelli (Figure 5 e); inner orbits moderately convergent ventrally; clypeus slightly sunken medially; labrum 0.36 x as long as wide, smooth; mandible with very obtuse anterior tooth and short apical (posterior) tooth (possibly worn); maxillary palpus as long as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus ca. 0.74 x as long as prementum, 4th segment 0.59 x as long as scape, 3rd segment expanded and compressed distally, tapering proximally; mid tibial spur only slightly curved distally.

Integument black to dark brown; metasoma infused with orange-brown dorsally (mostly on terga 2 and 3 and adjacent parts of 1 and 4), hind margins of terga with broad transparent bands.

Clypeus and supraclypeal area shiny with sparse moderately large punctures; frons, vertex, scutum and scutellum with close to dense small punctures, weakly shining; propodeal enclosure mostly smooth and glossy, coriarious only near anterior margin; metasomal terga largely dull, strongly finely coriarious with open fine puncturing, broad hind margins shiny, impunctate, obscurely coriarious.
Pubescence mostly fairly sparse, erect, plumose and white; scutum, scutellum and metanotum with fairly dense, short, buff, plumose setae; metasomal terga with sparse, very short simple setae; preanal fimbria and scopal setae on outer (dorsal) edge of hind tibia dusky brown; fore tibia without a brush-like set of specialized setae.

## Variation

One paratype has the metasoma uniformly black-brown.

## Etymology

The specific epithet is Latin for 'concave' and alludes to the form of the clypeus.

## Distribution

South-western Australia near Norseman and Kalgoorlie.

## Specimens Examined

The holotype and the following paratypes. Western Australia: 2 ( W A M $87 / 1447,89 / 388$ ), same data as holotype, ANIC, WAM; I (WAM 89/387), same data as holotype but on flowers of Eremophila caerulea, WAM; 19, 24 km SW of Kalgoorlie $\left(30^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 121^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right), 10$ Oct. 1988. R.P. McMillan, on flowers of Eremophila, WAM (89/389).

# Leioproctus (Leioproctus) eremites sp. nov. 

Figures 4 c, d; 7 a-c

## Holotype

In WAM (88/1047), ©̂, Coppin Pool area, 30 km S of Mt Bruce, NW Division of Western Australia, 10-13 May 1980, T.F. Houston et al. 324-2, on flowers of Eremophila.

## Paratypes



## Diagnosis

Most like L. lanceolatus and L. lucidicinctus in having inner orbits more or less parallel (Figure $4 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ), distal segment of labial palpus distinctly shorter than antennal scape, lower face of male relatively broad (DMA/HW 0.72-0.78), and fore tibia of female with regular, brush-like set of specialized setae similar to those of $L$. capito (Figure $1 \mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ ). Differs from both lanceolatus and lucidicinctus in having hind margins of metasomal terga neither hyaline nor with bands of dense white pubescence. Differs also from lucidicinctus in mandible of male being bidentate.

## Male (holotype)

Head width 3.2 mm ; body length $c a .11 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 43; UID 33; UFW 35; LID 34; D MA 39; HVO 7.5; WOC 15.5; MOD 4; OOD 9.5; IAD 9; ASD 4; AOD 9; SL 13; SW 3.5; FL 26; ML 28; BMW 9; PML 31; LPL 17.5; LP4L 9.5; MPL ca. 13; PGL 15.

Compound eyes parallel; vertex strongly elevated (Figure 4 c ); gena 1.1 x as wide as compound eye viewed laterally; width of labrum $3.3 \times$ medial length; ventral margin of labrum concave; mandible slender with acute subapical tooth (like lanceolatus, Figure 3 g); maxillary palpus 0.87 x as long as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus 0.56 x as long as prementum, distal segment 0.73 x as long as antennal scape; flagellum 0.52 x as long as head width.

Integument black generally, grading to dark brown on appendages and apical margins of metasomal terga; dull generally, coriarious with fine puncturing; punctures small and dense on lower face, sparse on vertex, moderately close on scutum and metasomal terga.

Head, thorax, propodeum (except enclosure), legs proximally and lst metasomal tergum with moderately long, dense, plumose setae; setae white generally but brown on vertex, scutum and scutellum; metasomal terga 2-4 and apical margin of 1 with short. simple, more or less adpressed, white setae, appearing silvery in oblique light; similar brown setae on terga 5 and 6.

Terminalia: Figure 7 a-c.

## Female (paratype W AM 88/1052)

Head width 2.7 mm ; body length ca. 8.5 mm .
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 41; UID 32; UFW 34; LFW 31; DMA 33; HVO 4; WOC 15; MOD 3.5; OOD 8; IAD 8.5; ASD 3.5; AOD 8; SL 12; SW 4; FL 25; ML 22; BMW 8; PML 32; LPL 27; LP4L 10; MPL ca. 13; PGL 16.

Compound eyes more or less parallel, inner orbits converging only slightly ventrally (Figure 4 d ); vertex moderately elevated above ocelli; labrum 0.4 x as long as broad, uniformly convex; mandibles bidentate; maxillary palpus 0.8 x as long as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus 0.84 x as long as prementum, distal segment 0.83 x as long as antennal scape; fore and mid tibial spurs as in L. capito (Figure 3 a, b).

Integument black generally except as follows: scapes ventrally, proboscis, tegulae, wing veins, distal segments of tarsi and hind margins of metasomal terga brown.

Clypeus densely punctate, shining between punctures; supraclypeal area glossy, impunctate medially; otherwise sculpture much as in male.

Fore tibia anteriorly and laterally with an even brush-like cover of setae (similar to that of capito, Figure 1 e-g, but setae finer and less bent); hind tibia with scopal setae grading from white ventrally to dusky brown dorsally; metasomal terga 5 and 6 with long brown plumose setae; pubescence otherwise as in male.

## Variation

Head widths range from $2.7-3.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males and $2.6-3.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females.

## Remarks

This species is morphologically closest to $L$. lanceolatus, differing chiefly in features of metasomal pubescence and male terminalia. It appears to be allopatric (or perhaps parapatric) with lanceolatus in Western Australia. In view of a male from near Charleville, Qd (see Incertae Sedis), with the pubescence of lanceolatus but terminalia much more like those of eremites, these two forms may prove to be no more than subspecies.

The sexes were associated on the basis of coincident collection records and morphological similarity.

## Etymology

The specific epithet is Latin meaning 'of the desert'.

## Distribution

North-western Australia between Newman, Cue and Carnarvon.

## Specimens Examined

The holotype and the following paratypes. Western Australia: $29,21 \mathrm{~km}$ NW of Belele HS ( $26^{\prime \prime} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, $\left.118^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right), 4$ Sept. 1980 , CAH \& TFH, ex burrow in road verge, WAM (89/382-3); $1 \mathrm{O}^{\hat{1}}, 121$ miles NNE of Carnarvon, 22 Aug. 1971, TFH, on blue Eremophila, WAM (89/372); 28夂. 6ㅇ. Coppin Pool area, 30 km S of Mt Bruce, NW Division, 10-13 May 1980, TFH et al, on flowers of Eremophila or (3q) burrowing under stones on track, (WAM $88 / 1046,1048,1052-7$ ), ANIC, UQIC, WAM; 9 i, $22,8 \mathrm{~km}$ S of Lyons River HS ( $24^{\prime \prime} 38^{\prime}$ S, $115^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 30 Aug. 1980, CAH \& TFH, on flowers of Eremophila platycalys var. lancifolia, (WAM 88 : $1034-44$ ), ANIC, UQIC, WAM; $2 \delta$. same as preceding but 16 km WSW of HS, 30 Aug. -1 Sept.. $1 \hat{\delta}$ on flowers of 'flannel leaf' Eremophila, $1 \hat{\delta}$ on flowers of Ptilotus obovatus, WAM (82/551,89/371); 1우. 26 km ESE of Minnie Creek HS ( $24^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 115^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 2 Sepl. 1980, CAH \& TFH, on flowers of Eremophila cuneifolia, WA M ( $89 / 384$ ); 5 , Mt Augustus ( $24^{\circ} 20^{\circ}$ S, $116^{\circ} 50^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ). 3 Sept. 1980, CAH \& TFH. on flowers of Eremophila affin. freelingii, WAM ( 82 548-50.89/373-4); 79, 14 miles NE of Newman, 28 Aug. 1971, TFH, on blue flowers of Eremophila, WAM (89/375-81).

# Leioproctus (Leioproctus) eremitulus sp. nov. 

Figures $3 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{o} ; 5 \mathrm{~b}$; 6 d -f

## Holotype

In SAM, 九̂, 45 km ENE of Dalhousie HS, South Australia, $26^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 135^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 24$ April 1977, copulating in Dipteracanthus flower, T.F. Houston.

## Paratypes

38 $\widehat{0}, 19$ in in ANIC; 10 in SAM; $2 \hat{O}, 2$ iq in WAM.

## Diagnosis

Most like L. canutus (vide) and L. longipalpus, differing from both in having mandible of male with distinct, acute, subapical tooth (Figure 3 f ). Differs from canutus also in having off-white to buff (rather than pure white) pubescence in male, metasomal terga of male with mostly short, simple setae, dorsum of thorax of female fairly densely pubescent and distal segment of labial palpus of female relatively longer, as long as antennal scape. Differs from longipalpus also in having propodeal enclosure wholly coriarious and dull, maxillary palpus (at least in femalc) relatively shorter (not reaching apex of galea), vertex of male more strongly elevated (Figure 5 b) and flagellum of male relatively shorter.
Male (holotype)
Head width 1.85 mm ; body length 6.5 mm .
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 48; UlD 34; UFW 35; LID 30; DMA 30; HVO 5; WOC 18; MOD 5; OOD 9; IAD 9; ASD 5; AOD 7; SL 13; SW 4.5; FL ca. 35; ML 22; BMW 9; PML 40; LPL 42; LP4L 15; MPL ? (obscured; = 16 in paratype); PGL 20.

Vertex strongly elevated above level of ocelli (Figure 5 b); inner orbits converging slightly ventrally; gena 0.9 x as wide as compound eye viewed laterally: mandibles slender, distinctly bidentate with acute subapical tooth (Figure 3 f ); flagellum fairly cylindrical, 0.7 x as long as head width; labrum 5 x wider than long, ventral margin gently concave; labial palpus relatively very long, 1.05 x as long as prementum, 2 nd segment attaining apex of glossa (Figure 3 o), 4th segment 1.15 x as long as antennal scape.

Integument black to dark brown generally with hind margins of metasomal terga light brown, transparent; dull generally except clypeus and supraclypeal area which arc glossy with open to sparse medium puncturing; labrum and mandibles distally glossy; frons finely vertically striate; vertex, scutum and scutellum finely coriarious with open puncturing; propodeal enclosure dull, coriarious; metasomal terga dull, shagreened (except apical margins), without distinct punctures.

Pubescence mostly white to off-white (buff on dorsum of thorax), erect, plumose, rather sparse; metasomal terga 2-5 with sparse vestiture of short, erect, simple setae.

Terminalia: Figure 6 d-f.

## Female (paratype in SAM)

Head width 2.25 mm ; body length $c a .8 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 47; UID 32; UFW 35; LID 31 ; DMA 32; HVO 3.5;

WOC 18; MOD 4; OOD 8; IAD 9; ASD 4; AOD 8; SL 15; SW 4; FL 27; ML 25; BMW 8.5; PML 38; LPL 40; LP4L 15; MPL 16; PGL 19.

Head generally similar to that of male; mandibles bidentate, both teeth acute; labrum smooth, convex, with convex ventral margin; maxillary palpus 0.84 x as long as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus 1.05 x as long as prementum, 4th segment as long as antennal scape; fore and mid tibial spurs much as in L. capito but latter not as strongly curved distally.

Coloration, sculpturing and pubescence as in male except as follows. Metasomal terga sparsely indistinctly punctured; fore tibia fairly densely setose but setae not specialized nor forming a brush as in capito; scutum, scutellum and metanotum with fairly dense, moderately short, buff, plumose setae; outer (dorsal) scopal setae of hind tibia dusky; preanal fimbria brown.

## Variation

Head widths vary from $1.95-2.20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males and $2.15-2.35 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females.

## Remarks

The sexes were associated on the basis of a pair taken in copula and numerous coincident collection records.

## Etymology

The specific epithet is the diminutive form of the Latin word eremites meaning 'of the desert'.

## Distribution

Central Australia and far northern South Australia.

## Specimens Examined

The holotype and the following paratypes. South Australia: 18 , same data as holotype, SAM; 10 , 19,17 km E of Granite Downs, $26^{0} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 133^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 21 \mathrm{Sept}$. $1978 . \mathrm{JCC}$. ANIC; $90^{\circ} .5$ 우. Indulkana $\mathrm{Ck}, 7 \mathrm{~km}$ E by S of Granite Downs, $26^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 133^{03} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 21$ Sept. 1978, JCC, on flowers of Eremophila freelingii F. Muell.,
 of Eremophila sp., $3 \hat{\text { on }}, 11$ ㅇ, 53 km E by N of, $23^{\prime \prime} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 134^{\prime \prime 2} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 6$ Oct., on flowers of Eremophila $\mathrm{sp} .: 1 \hat{0}, 41$ km S by E of, $24^{\prime \prime} 03^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 133^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 4$ Oct.; 1 오. 56 km S by E of, $24^{\prime \prime} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 134^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 3$ Oct..), 1978, JCC, ANIC, WAM; $20.8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~N}^{\prime}$ of K ulgera, $25^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 133^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 21$ Sept. 1978, JCC, on flowers of Eremophila freelingii F . Muell., ANIC.

## Leioproctus (Leioproctus) kumarina sp. nov.

Figure 3 m

## Holotype

In WAM (89/386), ㅇ, 83 miles S of Newman, Western Australia, 29 Aug. 1971, T.F. Houston, on (flowers of) Scaevola spinescens.

## Diagnosis

Female (male unknown) distinguished by the following combination of characters: inner orbits converging ventrally; fore tibia without a brush-like vestiture of regular specialized setae; maxillary palpus exceeding apex of galea; labial palpus only 0.88 x as
long as prementum, 3 rd segment not compressed, circular in section (Figure 3 m ); metasomal terga closely punctured, shining between punctures.

## Female (holotype)

Head width 2.45 mm ; body length ca. 8.5 mm .
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 44; UID 31; UFW 33; LID 29; DMA 30; HVO 3; WOC 17; MOD 4; OOD 7.5; IAD 8; ASD 4; AOD 7.5; SL 14; SW 3.5; FL ca. 26; ML 22; BMW 8; PML 34; LPL ca. 30; LP4L 10; MPL 17; PGL 15.

Inner orbits converging slightly ventrally; gena 0.8 x as wide as compound eye viewed laterally; mandible bidentate, subapical tooth small but acute; labrum smooth, convex, 2.8 x wider than long: maxillary palpus I .13 x as long as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus 0.88 x as long as prementum, 2nd segment not attaining apex of glossa, 3rd slender and round in section, 4 th 0.7 x as long as antennal scape, strongly compressed and widened proximally (Figure 3 m ): fore and mid tibial spurs much as in L. capito but latter not as strongly curved distally.

Integument black to dark brown: supraclypeal area and clypeus glossy with sparse, large punctures: frons and vertex weakly shining with close small punctures; scutum and scutellum dulled by dense small punctures; propodeal enclosure coriarious and dull dorsally, smooth and glossy posteriorly; metasomal terga shining with distinct, close to open small puncturing except on hind margins, not coriarious.

Pubescence mostly white, sparse and erect but scutum, scutellum and metanotum with fairly dense, short, buff tomentum: metasomal ierga 2-4 with very short simple setae dorsally; preanal fimbria brownish; scopal setae on outer (dorsal) margin of hind tibia dusky brown.

## Remarks

Known only from the unique holotype female.

## Etymology

The specific epithet is a noun in apposition. Kumarina is the nearest settlement to the type locality.

## Leioproctus (Leioproctus) lanceolatus sp. nov.

Figures 3 g; 7 d-f

## Holotype

In WAM (82;479), ઠ, 11 km W of Banjiwarn HS ( $\left.27^{\prime \prime} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 121^{\circ \prime 3} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$, Western Australia. 21 Feb. 1980 , T.F. Houston et al. 315-1, on blue flowers of Eremophila.

## Paratypes



## Diagnosis

Most like L. eremites (vide) and L. lucidicinctus. Differs from these and other members of the group in having at least partial bands of adpressed white pubescence on hind margins of metasomal terga 1-4 or 5. Differs from lucidicinctus also in mandible of male being bidentate (Figure 3 g ).

## Male (holotype)

Head width 2.8 mm ; body length ca. 8.5 mm .
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 44; UID 32; UFW -; LID -; DMA 38; HVO 6.5; WOC 15.2; MOD 4; OOD 8.5; IAD 9: ASD 3.5; AOD 8.5; SL 12.5; SW 3.5; FL ca. 26; ML 27: BMW 10; PML 31; LPL 26; LP4L 8; MPL $c a .10$; PGL 15.

Similar to male of eremites except as noted in Diagnosis and with the following additional differences: maxillary palpus 0.75 x as long as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus 0.84 x as long as prementum, distal segment 0.64 x as long as antennal scape; integument generally weakly shining; dorsal margin of clypeus and supraclypeal area medially impunctate; punctures of metasomal terga minute, sparse, indistinct; setae of vertex, scutum and scutellum buff; metasomal terga 2-5 (except apical margins) with short, simple, more or less adpressed brown setae, not appearing silvery in oblique light.

Bands of adpressed white plumose setae on apical margins of metaso mal terga l-5 are interrupted medially, widely on tergum 1 , less so on subsequent terga.

Terminalia: Figure $7 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{f}$.
Female (paratype WAM 89/396)
Head width 2.5 mm ; body length ca. 8.2 mm .
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 42; UID 30; UFW 34; LID 32; DMA 34; HVO 4; WOC 15; MOD 3.5; OOD 8; IAD 9; ASD 4; AOD 8: SL 13; SW 3.5; FL ca. 24; ML $21+$ (worn); BMW 9; PML 35; LPL ca. 26; LP4L 8; MPL ca. 12; PGL 16.

Similar to female of eremites except as noted in Diagnosis and with the following additional differences: maxillary palpus 0.75 x as long as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus 0.74 x as long as prementum, distal segment $0.6 \times$ as long as antennal scape; mandibles orange-brown proximally; legs dark brown generally; lower face sparsely hairy, especially medially; metasomal terga $2-4$ with short brown simple setae (except apically) not appearing silvery in oblique light.

Bands of adpressed white plumose setae on apical margins of metasomal terga l-4 are interrupted medially, widely on tergum 1, less so on subsequent terga.

## Variation

Head widths vary from $2.4-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males and $2.45-2.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females.
Bands of white pubescence on metasoma worn and sparse or almost absent in older specimens.

## Remarks

See remarks under eremites.
The sexes were associated on the basis of morphological similarity (especially the presence of metasomal hair bands) and coincident collection records.

## Etymology

The specific epithet is Latin meaning 'with a little lance' and alludes to the lance-like apex of the 8th metasomal sternum.

## Distribution

Western Australia (east of Mt Magnet and north of Kalgoorlie) to Central Australia and far northern South Australia.

## Specimens Examined

The holotype and the following paratypes．Western Australia：（near）Banjiwarn HS（ $27^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 121^{\circ} 37^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ） （ 4 万人， 9.5 km SE of，WAM $82 / 468,470,473,477 ; 18,10.5 \mathrm{~km}$ SE of．WAM $82 ; 467 ; 18,1$ ， 12.5 km SSE ， WAM $87 / 1446,89 / 396$ ），22－28 Feb．1980，TFH et al．，on flowers of Eremophila margarethae（except $\&$ on E．spathulata），WAM： $2 \delta^{\text {on }}, 1 \not \subset, 3.75 \mathrm{~km}$ NE of Comet Vale Siding（ $29^{\prime \prime} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 121^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ）， 7 － 15 Mar． 1979 ，TFH et al．，on flowers of Eremophila granitica．WAM（89；390－2）； 39 ，same as preceding but 7 km NE of siding， WAM（89！393－5）：19，Lake Cohen and vicinity， $24^{\prime \prime} 26^{\prime}$ S． $125^{\prime \prime} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 1$ Aug．1983，TFH \＆R．P．Mc Millan，on flowers of Eremophila battii，WAM（89；398）；19， 26 km NE of Youanmi，28＂27＇S， $119^{\prime \prime} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 15 \mathrm{Mar}$ ．1982， TFH \＆BPH，on flowers of Eremophila＂clarkei，WAM（89；397）．South Australia： 1 § ，1 1 ，ca． 45 km ENE of Dalhousie HS， $26^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 135^{\circ} 54^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 24$ April 1977，TFH，on flowers of Dipteracanthus，SAM．Northern Territory：（near）Alice Springs（ 29.32 km S by E of， $23^{\prime \prime} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 133^{\prime \prime} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 23$ Sept．．on llowers of Eremophila willsii； $1 \hat{\delta}, 56 \mathrm{~km}$ S by E of， $24^{\circ} 1 \mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 134^{\prime \prime} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 24$ Scpt．；Io， 39 km E of， $23^{\prime \prime} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ ． $134^{\prime \prime} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 25$ Sept．，on flowers of Eremophila freelingii； $4 \delta^{\circ}, 53 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{E}$ by N of， $23^{\circ} 35^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 134^{\prime \prime 2} 22^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 6$ Oct．，on flowers of Eremophila sp．；1中， 30 km NW by N of， $23^{\prime \prime} 32^{\prime}$ S， $133^{\prime \prime} 38^{\prime}$ E， 7 Oct．，in malaise trap），1978，JCC，ANIC； $1^{\AA}$ ．Henbury Meteorite Craters， $24^{\prime \prime} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 133^{\prime \prime} 08^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 22$ Sept．1978．JCC，ANIC．

## Leioproctus（Leioproctus）longipalpus sp．now．

Figures 3 k； $5 \mathrm{~d}: 6 \mathrm{~g}$－i

## Holotype

In WAM（88／1058）， $\mathbf{\delta}^{\lambda .} 12 \mathrm{~km}$ E of Marandoo Camp（ $22^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ ， $118^{\circ} 06^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ），Western Austratia，5－19 May 1980，TFH et al．321－2，on flowers of Eremophila leucophylla．

## Paratypes

16，3q，in WAM．See Specimens Examined．

## Diagnosis

Most like L．canutus（vide）and L．eremitulus．Female agrees with that of eremitulus in having face narrowest in lower part，fore tibia lacking brush－like vestiture of specialized setae，and distal segment of labial palpus at least as long as antennal scape．Differs from both canutus and eremitulus in having relatively longer palpi and glossy（or，in female， partially glossy）propodeal enclosure．
Male（holotype）
Head width 1.85 mm ；body length 6.5 mm ．
Relative dimensions：HW 50；HL 42；UID 32；UFW 33；LID 28；DMA 29；HVO 2．5； WOC 18；MOD 5；OOD 8；IAD 8；ASD 4．5；AOD 6．5；SL 11 ；SW 4．2；FL ca．45；ML 18；BMW 8；PML 28；LPL 40；LP4L 13；MPL 23；PGL 14.

Head fairly rounded viewed anteriorly（Figure 5 d ）；vertex only weakly elevated behind ocelli；compound eyes distinctly converging ventrally；gena only about 0.6 x as wide as compound eye viewed laterally；mandibles relatively short，strongly curved，with an obtuse subapical tooth；labrum 3.6 x wider than long，ventral margin straight； flagellum 0.9 x as long as head width．each segment slightly swollen；maxillary palpus 1.64 x as long as post－palpal portion of galea；labial palpus ca． 1.43 x as long as prementum，distal segment 1.18 x as long as antennal scape，fairly straight－sided．

Integument black generally grading to black－brown on metasoma and legs distally； proboscis，flagella ventrally and wing veins mid brown．

Integument mostly shining，glossy on vertex，scutum and propodeal enclosure；not coriarious except on more apical metasomal terga；puncturing close，small to medium
on face, sparser and less distinct on vertex, sparse but distinct on scutum, distinct, small and close to sparse on metasomal terga 1-3 (except hind margins), indistinct on more apical terga.

Pubescence wholly white; head, thorax, propodeum and legs generally with long, erect, plumose setae, nowhere dense enough to obscure integument; metasomal terga with short, simple, more or less erect setae, appearing silvery in oblique light; 5th sternum with dense apical fringe.

Terminalia: Figure 6 g-i. Female (paratype WAM 88/1050)

Head width 2.35 mm ; body length $c a .8 .4 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 43; UID 30; UFW 32; LlD 28; DMA 30; HVO 2.5; WOC 16; MOD 4; OOD 7; IAD 8; ASD 4; SL 14; SW 3.5; FL 27; ML 21; BMW 8.5; PML 33; LPL 42; LP4L 16; MPL 20; PGL 17.

Head fairly rounded viewed anteriorly, vertex moderately elevated above ocelli; inner orbits converging slightly ventrally; clypeus moderately convex transversely; gena little more than half as wide as compound eye viewed laterally (Figure 3 k ); labrum 3.1 x wider than long, smooth, evenly convex; mandibles slender with obtuse subapical tooth; maxillary palpus 1.2 x as long as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus 1.2 x as long as prementum, distal segment 1.14 x as long as antennal scape, 3 rd segment about 1.5 x as wide as 4 th, terminating in a spine (Figure 3 k ).

Integument black generally grading to dark-brown on metasoma and legs; mandibles partly orange-brown; palpi, tegulae and wing veins proximally light brown.

Integument faintly to moderately shining generally; clypeus and supraclypeal area glossy with open puncturing of variable size; frons and vertex with close, small puncturing, sparse near ocelli; scutum and scutellum dulled by close fine puncturing; propodeal enclosure rather glossy centrally, coriarious and dull peripherally; 1st metasomal tergum almost glossy, obscurely coriarious with open, small to minute puncturing; subsequent terga progressively duller, more strongly coriarious with less distinct punctures.

Pubescence fairly sparse generally except on scutum and scutellum which have dense cover of short buff tomentum and scattered longer erect setae; dorsum of metasoma with short simple setae appearing silvery in oblique light: 5th and 6th terga with dark brown plumose setae; fore tibia without a brush-like cover of specialized setae; hind tibia with scopal setae grading from white ventrally to dark brown dorsally.

## Etymology

The specific epithet is a noun in apposition and alludes to the labial palpi which, proportionately, are the longest in the species group.

## Distribution

Hamersley Ranges, north-western Australia.

## Specimens Examined

The holotype and the following paratypes. Western Australia: 1 ${ }^{\text {B }}$, same data as holotype, WAM (88/1059); 3 ? Coppin Pool area, 30 km S of Mt Bruce, NW Division, 10-13 May 1980, TFH et al., on flowers of Eremophila, WAM (88/1049-5 I).

# Leioproctus (Leioproctus) lucanus sp. nov. 

Figures I c, d; 3 e; 4 e-g; 8 e-h

## Holotype

In SAM, $\mathbf{\delta}^{\lambda}, 2 \mathrm{~km}$ S of Hamilton HS ( $26^{\prime \prime} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, $135^{\circ} 04^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), South Australia, 26 April I977, T.F. Houston, on flowers of Eremophila willsii.

## Paratypes

II

## Diagnosis

Most like L. capito (vide). Differs from capito in having 6-segmented maxillary palpi, mandible of male with larger excavation in anterior margin and angle proximal to excavation more prominent (cf. d and e Figure 3), gena of male excavated and angular near insertion of mandible (Figure 4 f ), hypostomal carina of female defining a broad area near insertion of mandible (Figure 4 g ) and metasomal terga of female frequently infused with orange-brown.

## Male (holotype)

Head width 4.25 mm ; body length $c a .11 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 40; UlD 34; UFW -; LID -; DMA 44; HVO 10; WOC 12; MOD 3; OOD 11; IAD 11; ASD 3.5; AOD 10; SL 12.5; SW 2.5; FL ca. 22; ML 32; BMW 9.5; PML 28; LPL 20; LP4L 6; MPL ca. 10; PGL 13.5.

Similar to male of $L$. capito except as noted in the Diagnosis and with the following additional differences: clypeus less produced mid-ventrally, not uniformly concave lateroventrally (Fig. 4 e ); lower gena with distinct angle running from near posterior articulation of mandible towards posterior end of proboscidial fossa, marking off a large, rather triangular area mediad of mandible; maxillary palpus ca. 0.74 x as long as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus $0.71 \times$ as long as prementum, distal segment 0.48 x as long as anten nal scape; flagellum $c a .0 .44 \times$ as long as head width; hind margins of metasomal terga (especially 2-6) broadly hyaline; lower face with close small punctures, areas below antennal sockets more sparsely punctured but not impunctate; propodeal enclosure finely transversely striate dorsally; antennae, ventral margin of c!ypeus and labrum dark brown (not yellow-brown).

Terminalia: Figure 8 e-h; setac on apex of 8 th metasomal sternum forming a shorter, denser 'brush' than in capito.

## Female (paratype WAM 82/459)

Head width 2.6 mm ; body length ca. 8.5 mm .
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 44; UID 30; UFW -; LID -; DMA 36; HVO 5; WOC 14; MOD 3.2; OOD 8; IAD 10; ASD 3.5; AOD 8; SL 13; SW 3.2; FL ca. 25; ML 25; BMW 9; PML 35; LPL ca. 22; LP4L 5.5; MPL ca. 8; PGL 17.

Similar to female of capito except as noted in the Diagnosis and with the following additional differences: inner orbits diverging only slightly ventrally; epistomal carina diverging from proboscidial fossa anteriorly and enclosing a broad area posterior to mandible (Figure 4 g ); metasomal terga with small, distinct puncturing, setae of vertex white.

## Variation

Head width varies from $3.3-4.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males and $2.65-3.15 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females; the extent of orange-brown on the metasoma of females varies from nil to almost total coverage of terga 1-4.

## Remarks

See Remarks under L. capito.
The sexes were associated on the basis of morphological similarities and coincident collection records.

## Etymology

The specific epithet is a noun in apposition and was chosen because the large pincer-like mandibles of males are suggestive of those of some Lucanus beetles.

## Distribution

Western Australia (east of Leinster), Central Australia and far northern South Australia.

## Specimens Examined

The holotype and the following paratypes. Western Australia: $2 \delta .19 .12 .5 \mathrm{~km}$ SSE of Banjiwarn HS ( $27^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 121^{03} 7^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 22-28 Feb. 1980. TFH ei al., on flowers of Eremophila spaihulata (10. 1q, 82/458-9), on flowers of Scaevola spinescens ( $1 \hat{0}, 82 / 460$ ) WAM. South Australia: Iô, same data as holotype, SAM.
 Eremophila willsii; $1 \delta^{\hat{N}}, 46 \mathrm{~km}$ SW by S of, $24^{\circ} 03^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 133^{\circ} 37^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 23 \mathrm{Sept}: 10^{\mathrm{A}}, 19,41 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~S}$ by E of, $24^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, 133059'E, 4 Oct.), 1978, JCC, ANIC.

## Leioproctus (Leioproctus) lucidicinctus sp. nov.

Figures 3 h, l; 5 a; 7 g-i

## Holotype

In WAM (88/1045), §, 57 km ENE of Carnarvon, Western Australia, 29 Aug. 1980, C.A. Howard \& T.F. Houston 339-1, on flowers of Eremophila sp. "crenulata" of R.J. Chinnock.

## Paratypes

$1 \star$ in ANIC: $5 \widehat{\delta}$, 2q, in SAM. See Specimens Examined.

## Diagnosis

Most like L. eremites (vide) and L. lanceolatus. Differs from both in having hind margins of metasomal terga broadly hyaline (without bands of white pubescence), distal segment of labial palpus more slender, hardly compressed, mandible of male simple, lacking subapical tooth (Figure 3 h), labrum of female transversely carinate (Figure 31), metasomal terga of female orange-brown.
Male (holotype)
Head width 3.0 mm ; body length $c a .11 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 44; UID 33; UFW -; LID -; DMA 36; HVO 9; WOC 16; MOD 3.5; OOD 9; IAD 10; ASD 3.5; AOD 9; SL 13; SW 3; FL ca. 27; ML 28; BMW 9.5; PML 35; LPL 31; LP4L 9.5; MPL 12; PGL 17.

Similar to male of L. eremites except as noted in the Diagnosis and with the following additional differences: vertex more developed (Figure 5 a) ; width of labrum $4.0 \times$ medial length; maxillary palpus 0.7 x as long as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus 0.88 x as long as prementum, distal segment 0.73 x as long as antennal scape; mandibles orange-brown except for dark red apices; integument generally with a sheen, especially on metasomal terga; vertex and scutum with close, fine puncturing; metasomal terga with sparse, small to minute, indistinct puncturing; hyaline margins of metasomal terga 3 and 4 about as long medially as metanotum; setae of vertex and scutum very pale buff; metasomal terga 2-4 with short, simple, brownish setae (absent on hind margins).

Terminalia: Figure 7 g-i.
Female (paratype SAM)
Head width 2.8 mm ; body length $c a .9 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 44; UID 31; UFW 34; LID 32; HVO 6; WOC 16; MOD 3.5; OOD 8; IAD 9.5; ASD 3.5; AOD 8; SL 13; SW 3.5; FL ca. 23; ML 21+ (worn); BMW 9; PML 34; LPL ca. 28; LP4L 7.5; MPL 13; PGL 17.

Similar to female of $L$. eremites except as noted in the Diagnosis and with the following additional differences: vertex more strongly elevated; labrum 0.28 x as long as wide, angled but not especially convex; maxillary palpus 0.76 x as long as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus ca. 0.82 x as long as prementum, terminal segment 0.58 x as long as scape; mid tibial spur only slightly curved distally.

Ventral margin of clypeus, labrum, mandibles except dark red apices orange-brown; metasoma largely dark orange; legs black-brown to yellow-brown.

Face below ocelli fairly shiny; clypeus with small, close to open puncturing; frons with close, fine punctures; vertex and scutum duller with dense, fine puncturing; metasomal terga with a sheen and indistinct, sparse, fine punctures.

Pubescence almost wholly white including scopal setae; hair of 5th and 6th metasomal terga buff.

## Variation

Head widths range from $3.0-3.35 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males and the second female paratype has a HW of 2.75 mm .

## Remarks

The sexes were associated on the basis of morphological similarity (especially the hyaline tergal margins) and coincident collection records.

## Etymology

The specific epithet is Latin for 'clear banded' and alludes to the tergal margins.

## Distribution

Western Australia (near Carnarvon), Central Australia and far northern South Australia.

## Specimens Examined

The holotype and the following paratypes. South Australia: $5 \hat{\delta}, 29,2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~S}$ of Hamilton HS ( $26^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, $135^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ E), 26 April 1977, TFH, on flowers of Eremophila willsii, SAM. Northern Territory: 10, Plenty River, 245 km ENE of Alice Springs, $23^{\prime \prime} 00^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 136^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 14$ Oct. 1978, JCC, ANIC.

## New paracolletine bees <br> Leioproctus (Leioproctus) nasutus sp. nov.

Figure 9 a-k

## Holotype

In WAM (89/345), ©̂, Meleya Well ( $28^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, $117^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), Thundelarra Station, 28 Aug.-2 Sept. 1981, T.F. Houston 388c-6, on foliage of Eremophila pantonii.

## Paratypes



## Diagnosis

Distinguished from other black, non-metallic species of Leioproctus s. str. by the strongly protruding clypeus (especially that of male, Figure 9 c ), smooth, relatively long and rather oblong labrum (Figure 9 d ) and flattened, expanded fore tarsi of male (Figure 9 h).

## Male (holotype)

Head width 2.65 mm ; body length ca. 10 mm .
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 55; UFW 33; LID 29; HVO 2; WOC 17; MOD 4.2; OOD 8; DMA 30; IAD 10; ASD 4; AOD 7; ML 22; SL 14; SW 4; FL 65; PML 34; PGL 20: MPL 25; LPL 23.

Head slightly longer than broad; compound eyes more or less parallel (Figure 9 a); vertex elevated a little above level of compound eyes and ocelli, rather level viewed anteriorly; frons and vertex depressed slightly between compound eyes and ocelli but facial foveae not at all defined; clypeus strongly protuberant (Figure 9 a , c), lateral portions bent back almost parallel to long axis of body; interantennal area strongly convex transversely; gena narrower than compound eye viewed laterally, undeveloped in lower part; malar area very short; labrum smooth, almost rectangular, half as long as broad (Figure 9 d ); mandible slender, fairly straight, bidentate, apical tooth much exceeding subapical tooth; maxillary palpus slender, excceding apex of galea (relative lengths of segments $1-6$ are $3.5,4.0,4.5,4.0,4.0$ and 5.0 ); labial palpus almost as long as maxillary palpus (Figure 9 c ), distal segment slender, not expanded (relative lengths of segments I-4 are 5, 6, 6 and 6); antennae relatively long, flagellum 1.3 x as long as head width, middle segments 1.6 x as long as wide, terminal segment 2.4 x as long as wide, first segment slightly shorter than wide; metanoturn ordinary (not swollen or tuberculate); propodeum with sloping dorsal area as long as metanotum, rounding onto vertical posterior surface with no separating edge or carina; metasoma moderately slender, terga 2-5 slightly depressed across posterior margins; fore wing with three submarginal cells, first as long as second and third together, second receiving first recurrent vein three quarters of its posterior length from first transverse cubital vein; fore tarsi highly modified, flattened and expanded (Fig. 9 h); fore and mid tibiae with prominent outer apical spines, hind tibia with an obtuse outer apical projection; hind basitarsus very long, straight and slender, 7 x as long as greatest height, almost 2 x as long as segments 2-5 together (excluding claws); legs otherwise fairly ordinary.

Integument almost wholly black and nonmetallic; flagellum ventrally and fore tibia anteriorly yellow-brown; compound eyes and wing veins dark brown.


Figure 9 Leioproctus nasutus: (a, b) heads of male and female, respectively (pubescence omitted); (c) left lateral view of head (pubescence omitted) and proboscis of male; ( d ) labrum of male (fringing setae omitted); (e) fore tibial spur of male; ( $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$ ) mid tibial and inner hind tibial spurs of female; (h) fore tarsus of male (inner view; pubescence omitted); (i-k) genital capsule, 7th and 8th metasomal sterna of male (dorsal views on right halves, ventral on left). Scale lines (a, b) 1 mm , (e) 0.1 mm , ot hers 0.5 mm .

Clypeus and supraclypeal area shining with close medium puncturing; hind margins of metasomal terga shining; integument otherwise minutely roughened, indistinctly punctate and dull; frons and vertex finely longitudinally striate except laterally.

Clypeus with moderately long silvery-white setae directed ventrally; paraocular areas and lower frons with dense long white pubescence directed laterally and dorsally; remainder of head (except eyes), thorax, propodeum (except enclosure), metasomal terga 1 and 2 , fore femora and anterior margins of fore basitarsi with abundant erect, long, white pubescence; re mainder of legs, hind margins of metasomal sterna and lateral edges of terga with sparse, erect, white setae (4th and 5th sterna with no apical fringes); tergum 2 posteriorly and 3-7 generally with very short to moderately long, simple, brown setae.

Terminalia: Fig. 9 i-k. Female (paratype WAM 89/363)

Head width 2.9 mm ; body length $c a .10 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 43; UID 32; UFW 35; LID 32; DMA 32; HVO 1.5; WOC 16; MOD 4; OOD 8; IAD 8; ASD 4; AOD 9; ML 23; SL 16; SW 3; FL ca. 28; PML 37; LPL 21; MPL 23; PGL 18.

Head a little wider than long; vertex only slightly elevated above level of compound eyes and ocelli (Figure 9 b), fairly level; compound eyes more or less parallel; clypeus and supraclypeal area strongly protuberant as in male; malar area very short; gena about as wide as compound eye viewed laterally, more developed in lower part than in male; labrum smooth, convex, slightly more than half as long as broad; mandiblcs bidentate, apical tooth much exceeding subapical tooth; palpi as in male: thorax and propodeum as in male but dorsal area of latter defined postcriorly by a few transverse striae; metasoma ordinary, terga fairly even; venation as in male; legs ordinary, tibiae without outer apical spines and projections; fore tibial calcar with a short stout apical spine bearing only two teeth; mid tibial spur strongly curved and lacking tceth on distal half (Figure 9 f ); inner hind tibial spur coarsely pectinate with 5-6 long teeth (Figure 9 g); hind basitarsus 3.4 x as long as high.

Integument almost wholly black, nonmetallic; flagella ventrally, compound eyes, proboscis distally, wing veins and distitarsi dark brown; anterior patch near base of fore tibia yellow-brown.

Clypeus and supraclypeal area glossy, sparsely punctured; hind margins of metasomal terga finely coriarious and shiny; integument otherwise finely roughened and dull (metasomal terga with a sheen); propodeal enclosure sparsely rugose dorsally.

Pubescence much as in male; prepygidial fimbria of dense, dark brown, plumose setae; hind tibial scopa moderately dense, consisting of long highly plumose setae, white ventrally, dusky brown dorsally.

## Variation

Head widths of males vary from $2.4-2.7 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## Remarks

The sexes were associated on the basis of morphological similarity and have been collected together at the same flowers.

## Etymology

The specific epithet is Latin meaning 'with a snout'.

## Distribution

Known only from the type locality in southern Western Australia.

## Specimens Examined

The holotype and the following paratypes. Western Australia: $14 \hat{\delta}$. 59 . same data as holotype, on flowers and foliage of Eremophila pantonii ( $10 \hat{0}, 4$ 个), E. longifolia ( $1 \hat{\delta}, 1$ ) and Scaevola spinescens ( $3 \hat{\sigma}$ ), (WAM $89 / 346-64)$, ANIC, UQIC, WAM.

## Genus Trichocolletes Cockerell

By far the majority of species in this moderately large genus are oligoleges of Fabaceae. A few appear to specialize in flowers of other families but the two species described here are the only ones known to utilize flowers of Myoporaceae (Eremophila in particular).

The two species are closely related and may be distinguished from their congeners as follows: females with mid tibial spur stout, strongly curved and serrate or pectinate only along the middle section (Figure $10 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}$ ); proboscis relatively elongate, prementum length (PML) at least 3.5 x eye length (Figure 10 a ).

## Trichocolletes (Trichocolletes) eremophilae sp. nov.

Figures $10 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{g} ; 11 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$

## Holotype

In WAM (89/328), ©ో. East Yuna Reserve, 34 km WNW of Mullewa, Western Australia, 28-29 August 1984, T.F. Houston 601-9 \& B.P. Hanich, on flowers of Eremophila.

## Paratypes

$3 \ell$ in SAM; $1 \hat{0}, 8 \not \subset$ in WAM. See Specimens Examined.

## Diagnosis

Readily distinguishable from T, multipectinatus as follows: male with fore basitarsus slender, unmodificd; female with lower clypeus sparsely punctate and shining, metasomal segments 3-6 and preanal fimbria wholly black.

## Male (holotype)

Head width 3.6 mm ; body length $c a .13 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 4I; UlD 33; UFW 36; LlD 35; DMA 32; HVO 2; WOC 15; MOD 4; OOD 9; ML 21; BMW 5.5; MSL 1.5; SL 13; SW 4; FL ca. 64; PML 36; LPL 11; MPL 13; PGL 16.

Head rather quadrate in anterior vicw, inner orbits parallel; malar area about $1 / 3$ as long as basal width of mandible; mandible straight, slender, bidentate; labrum $3 / 4$ as long as wide, smooth and gently convex, fringe of bristles at least $1 / 2$ as long as labrum; glossa extended well beyond prementum, deeply bifid; maxillary palpus slender, almost reaching apex of galea: !abial palpus slender, slightly shorter than maxillary palpus; scape slender; flagellum longer than head width, first segment shortest, 1.4 x as long as wide, middle segments 1.5 x as long as wide, each bowed ventrally, terminal segment 2.5
$x$ as long as wide with rounded-compressed apex; legs fairly ordinary, slender; hind tarsus (excluding claws) 1.26 x as long as hind tibia, basitarsus 5.5 x as long as maximum height, slightly curved; fore tibial calcar with a short apical spine with 2-3 teeth and a rounded velum.

Head and body mainly black; mandibles and labrum whitish basally grading into rusty brown distally (apices of mandibles dark red); hind margins of metasomal terga 1-5 silvery white. The following are yellow-brown: scapes wholly, flagella ventrally, legs (except coxae and blackish patches on dorsal edges of mid and hind tibiae) and 7th metasomal tergum.

Integument of head and body minutely reticulated, dull, with a slight satiny sheen (most pronounced on hind margins of metasomal terga); puncturing weak or absent on head and thorax, on metasoma weak and sparse anteriorly becoming more distinct posteriorly (absent on tergal margins).


Figure 10 Trichocolletes spp.: (a) head and proboscis (pubescence omitted) of female of T. multipectinatus, left lateral view; (b) fore tarsus of T. multipectinatus male, inner view (pubescence omitted); (c, d) fore tibial, ( $\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}$ ) mid tibial and ( $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}$ ) outer hind tibial spurs of $T$. eremophilae and $T$. multipectinatus females, respectively; (i) inner hind tibial spur of T. multipectinatus female. Figures c-i to same scale. Scale lines 0.5 mm .

Head, thorax, propodeum and base of metasoma with abundant, long pubescence, that of face very dense, obscuring integument, silvery-buff tinged with rufous; pubescence of clypeus very erect, of even length, appearing cropped; pubescence of genae and thorax paler, that of propodeum and base of metasoma white, sparser, not obscuring integument; legs only sparsely white-haired; metasomal terga 3-7 with sparse, short, simple, brownish setae; metasomal sterna without conspicuous hair fringes.

## Female (paratype WA M 89/333)

Head width 3.85 mm ; body length $c a .12 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 4 I; UID 30; UFW 35; LID 34; DMA 3I; HVO 2; WOC 14; MOD 4; OOD 8; IAD 7.5; ASD 4; AOD 9.5; ML 22; BMW 7; MSL 1.5: SL 15; SW 3; PML 33; PGL 15; MPL I2; LPL ca. 10.

Inner orbits more or less parallel; face narrowest at level of ocelli; interantennalsupraclypeal area convex; clypeus transversely convex in lower part, slightly depressed medially in upper part; clypeo-antennal distance $1 / 3$ as long as maximum length of clypeus; malar space about $1 / 5$ as long as basal width of mandible; mandible gently curved, bidentate; labrum smoothly convex, length about 0.7 x width, fringing bristles almost as long as labrum; gena less than half as wide as compound eye viewed laterally but sweeping backwards medially to encompass enlarged proboscidial fossa (as in multipectinatus, Figure 10 a ); first segment of flagellum I. 2 x as long as wide, tapering proximally; glossa dceply bifid; fore tibial calcar with stout apical spine bearing three Iong, slender teeth (Figure 10 c ); mid tibial spur short, stout, strongly curved, bearing about four serrations at mid length (Figure 10 e ); inner hind tibial spur very thick proximally, with six long teeth at mid length; outer hind tibial spur almost simple, a few short serrations along one edge (Figurc 10 g ); hind basitarsus 3 x as long as high.
Integument predominantly black; narrow hind margins of metasomal terga 1 and 2 silvery white, of 3 and 4 translucent but not silvery white; mandibles cream proximally, grading through orange-brown to dark red distally; labrum dark brown, paler basally; flagellum yellow-brown ventrally.

Face, vertex and upper genae with sparse, Iong, sooty-brown, simple to slightly plumose setae; ventral margin of clypeus fringed with gold-brown setae; genae, entire thorax, propodeum, first two metasomal segments and legs proximal to tibiae with abundant white plumose setae, long on ventral and lateral areas and first tergum of metasoma, moderately short on dorsum of thorax and very short on second tergum and lateral margins of third, obscuring underlying integument only around pronotal tubercles and tegulae; third and fourth terga with adpressed, simple, short, dark brown setae; fifth and sixth terga with dense, dark brown, plumose setae; setae of mid and hind tibiae and tarsi mostly white but sooty brown on outer edges of tibiae; metasomal sterna with mostly white simple setae, fringed on hind margins with longer plumose setae.

Lower face weakly shining with uneven, dense to open puncturing: clypeus glossy between punctures; frons and vertex dull, finely punctured; facial foveae undefined but evident as broad matt areas between compound eyes and ocelli; thorax coriarious, with small punctures, close to dense on anterior and lateral areas of scutum, open on
mesopleura，very sparse on posteromedial area of scutum；metasomal terga dull，finely punctured，puncturing open on terga 1 and 2 ，gradually becoming denser on 3 and 4 ．

## Variation

Number of teeth or serrations on tibial spurs of females varies from 3－5（fore），4－8 （mid）and 5－8（inner hind）．Head widths of females vary from $3.6-3.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ ．

## Remarks

The sexes were associated on the basis of coincident collection records．

## Etymology

The specific epithet is derived from the generic name of the forage plants．

## Distribution

Southern Western Australia between Geraldton，Meekatharra and Kalgoorlie．

## Specimens Examined

The holotype and the following paratypes．Western Australia： 1 § ，same data as holotype，WAM （89／329）； 1 ㅇ． 8 miles NNE of Meekatharra， 30 Aug．1971，TFH，on white Eremophila，（ 19 ，sa me data but 6 miles E， 31 Aug．， 1 夺， 13 miles E， 31 Aug．，on blue Eremophila），SAM； $19,18 \mathrm{~km}$ WSW of Mulline， $29^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ ， $120^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 23$ Sept．1982，BPH \＆TFH，on flowers of Eremophila granitica，WAM（89／334）； $69,7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~W}$ of Nalbarra HS（28039＇S， $117^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$ E），29－30 Aug．1981，TFH，on flowers of Eremophila affin．georgei，WAM （87／1463－4．89／330－3）．

## Trichocolletes（Trichocolletes）multipectinatus sp．nov．

Figures 10 a，b，d，f，h，i； 11 d－f

## Holotype

In WAM（ $87 / 1459$ ），$\delta^{\prime}$ ，Meleya Well $\left(28^{\prime \prime} 58^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 117^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$ ．Thundelarra Station，Western Australia， 28 Aug．－2 Sept．1981，T．F．Houston 388c－9，on flowers of Eremophila pantonii．

## Paratypes

7太， 5 O in WAM； 2 §, 5 争 in SAM．See Specimens Examined．

## Diagnosis

Readily distinguishable from T．eremophilae as follows：male with fore basitarsus expanded ventrally，notch of strigilis much enlarged（Figure 10 b ）；female with whole clypeus finely，densely punctate and dull，and preanal fimbria orange or mostly so．

## Male（holotype）

Head width 3.45 mm ：body length $c a .12 \mathrm{~mm}$ ．
Relative dimensions：HW 50；HL 44；UlD 32；UFW 37；LID 36；DMA 34；HVO 2．5； WOC 9；MOD 4；OOD 9；ML 22；BMW 5．5；SL 13；SW 4；FL ca．62；PML 38；LPL ca． 10；MPL 10；PGL 17.

Form much as in male of eremophilae except as noted in Diagnosis and with the following additional differences：inner orbits very slightly diverging downwards，face narrowest at level of ocelli；maxillary palpus somewhat reduced，no longer than labial palpus and only about 0.6 x as long as post－palpal portion of galea；fore tibial calcar with minute，erect apical spine without any teeth（Figure 10 b ）．


Figure 11 Trichocolletes spp. male terminalia (left to right - ge nital capsule, 7th and 8th metasomal sterna: dorsal views on right halves, ventral on left): (a-c) T. eremophilae, (d-f) T. multipectinatus. Scale lines 0.5 mm .

Coloration as in male of eremophilae except as follows: areas of yellow-brown less extensive, scapes partly black suffused, legs predominantly black, with yellow-brown areas confined to anterior surfaccs of femora and tibiae, 7th metaso mal tergum with only a median yellow-brown spot.

Integumental sculpture much as in male of eremophilae but vertex faintly shiny.
Pubescence as in male of eremophilae except as follows: pubescence of head and thorax pale buff without a rufous tint; sparse, long, erect setae occur on all metasomal terga except 7th, setae white grading to brown on more apical terga.

Terminalia: see Figure 11 d-f.

Female (paratype WAM 87/1461)
Head width 3.75 mm ; body length $c a .12 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Relative dimensions: HW 50; HL 44; UlD 30; UFW -; LlD -; DMA 32; MOD 3.5; WOC 14; OOD 8; HVO 2.5; IAD 8; ASD 3.5; AOD 10; ML 24; BMW 6.5; SL 16; SW 3; FL ca. 34; PML 35; LPL 8; MPL 8.75; PGL 15.

Similar to female of T. eremophilae except as follows.
Inner orbits slightly diverging downwards; upper clypeus fairly flat; malar space about $1 / 4$ as long as basal width of mandible; gena in upper part a bout $3 / 4$ as wide as compound eye viewed laterally; first segment of flagellum $c a .1 .5 x$ as long as wide; glossa appearing bilobed because of extended glossal lobes but ventral margin of annulate surface only gently concave; maxillary palpus reduced, $c a .0 .6 \mathrm{x}$ as long as post-palpal portion of galea; labial palpus reduced, not reaching a nnulate area of glossa; fore tibial calcar with apical spine little larger than two auxillary teeth (Figure 10 d ); mid tibial spur with short, comb-like set of stout teeth (Figure 10 f ); inner hind tibial spur with four or five long prongs, outer spur with similar but shorter set of prongs (Figure 10 h ).

Lower face dull; clypeus evenly, densely, finely punctate, coriarious between punctures; scutum centrally virtually impunctate, coriarious.

Sooty brown setae of face erect, up to 0.6 x as long as scape: ventral margin of clypeus without golden setae; scutum with diffuse patch of sooty-tipped setae centrally; 5 th metasomal tergum with dense, orange, plumose setae except laterally and basally where setae are black-brown.

## Variation

Head width varies from $3.15-3.65 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males and $3.25-3.75 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females. Number of teeth on tibial spurs of females varies a little, inner hind tibial spur having 3-5. Mid tibial spur of some females quite swollen opposite teeth. Females from South Australia differ from typical form in having hind margins of metasomal terga 3 and 4 silvery white (like 1 and 2 ) with mostly pale adpressed setae and preanal fimbria wholly orange.

## Remarks

The sexes were associated on the basis of coincident collection records.

## Etymology

The specific epithet is Latin meaning 'many combs' and alludes to the pectinate tibial spurs of females.

## Distribution

Southern Western Australia (Mt Magnet - Kalgoorlie region) and northern Eyre Peninsula, South Australia.

## Specimens Examined

The holotype and the following paratypes. Western Australia: 50.19. same data as holotype. WAM
 on flowers of Eremophila ?'scoparia, WAM (87/1462, 89.340-1); 3q, 18 km WSW of Mulline, $29^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, $120^{\prime \prime} 20^{\prime}$ E, 23 Sept. 1982, BPH \& TFH, on flowers of Eremophila pantonii, WAM (89. 342-4). South Australia: 19. Lake Gilles National Park, 27 Oct. 1974. C.A. \& TFH, on flowers of Eremophila scoparia, SAM; 20, 5中, North Middleback Range, $33^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 137^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 7-8$ Oct. 1973, C.A. \& TFH, on Eremophila scoparia, SAM.
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